

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/993,823	11/06/2001	Terry V. Clapp	476-2050.1	8712
7590 09/14/2004			EXAMINER	
Lee, Mann, Smith,			KIANNI, KAVEH C	
McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson P.O. Box 2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			2883	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/993,823	CLAPP, TERRY V.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
K. Cyrus Kianni	2883	<i>.</i>

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments based on contention that the confinement of light within the core layer is provided by "a layer (108, 108') which is deposited on top of core layer', whilest the page number referred to by the applicant (page 8, lines 19-33) refers to (108, 108,) as optical signal. The applicant's arguments, as the examiner responded in thefinal rejection, are not persuasive and either they are directed to arguments that are new or arguments that the examiuner has already respoded oin the final rejection action.

The examiner kindly advises the applicant to, appropriatly, narrow the scope of the claim(s) in order to make the case allowed.

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800